

## UNITED STATES DEARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 1581/00180 γ NAKAGAWA 03/03/00 09/446,521 **EXAMINER** IM52/0503 MULIS BURTON A AMERNICK PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT POLLOCK VANDE SANDE & AMERNICK PO BOX 19088 1711 WASHINGTON DC 20036-3425 DATE MAILED: 05/03/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applican 2. V

09/446,521

Examiner

Jeffrey Mullis

Art Unit 1711

Nakagawa et al.



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	TO EVENE A MONTHE POLA
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days.	cation.
communication.	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). e mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
	etion is non-final.
	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-32</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7)	is/are objected to.
8) 🔀 Claims <u>1-32</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed onis/ar	e objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13)□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received.
2.   Certified copies of the priority documents ha	
application from the International Bur	
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of t	
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 33 0.3.0. s 110(e).
Attachment(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20)  Other:

Serial No. 09/446,521
Art Unit 1711

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack Unity of Invention because they are not so linked as to form a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In order for more than one species to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid. The species are as follows:

Applicants should choose a single block copolymer by selecting either living radical polymerization or living cationic polymerization and should also select a single monomer for living radical polymerization if applicants elect living radical polymerization produced block copolymers by selecting a single monomer from one of those at page 23 line 30 - page 25 line 30; if applicants elect living cationic polymerization, applicants should select a single monomer from one of those set out at page 28 line 26 - page 29 line 30. Applicants should also elect a single polymer "I" from one of those set out at page 36 lines 1-7.

The species listed above do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The various substituents on the polymerizable vinyl moiety bear no resemblance to each other and since vinyl monomers as such cannot be said to share the same

Serial No. 09/446,521

Art Unit 1711

technical feature merely because they are derived from vinyl monomers, the blocks formed from these monomers cannot be said to share any common technical feature; with regard to the chain of the polymer "I", even the backbones of these materials bear no resemblance to each other and therefore a block formed from polymer "I" cannot be said to share any common technical feature with any other block formed from another polymer "I".

Due to the complexity of this requirement, no telephone lack of unity was attempted.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey Mullis at telephone number (703) 308-2820.

J. Mullis:cdc

April 25, 2001

Jeffrey Mullis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711